

Prosodic effects of DP-internal word order variation in Xitsonga

Seunghun J. Lee^{1,2} & Kristina Riedel³

¹International Christian University (Japan), ²University of Venda (South Africa), ³University of Free State (South Africa)

seunghun@icu.ac.jp, RiedelK@ufs.ac.za

Many Bantu languages have penultimate lengthening where the penultimate syllable of a sentence is lengthened. The domain of penultimate lengthening is described to be an intonational phrase. Qualitative penultimate lengthening can be observed even at the phonological word level. There is an extensive literature on penultimate lengthening in Bantu languages [8], but none of this addresses DP-internal patterns. This paper seeks to address this gap by introducing and analysing syntactic and phonological patterns, including new data from Xitsonga, a southern Bantu language.

Bantu languages generally have a noun-initial DP word order. However, all (or nearly all) Bantu languages also allow for demonstratives (1) and sometimes a quantifier meaning ‘each, every’ to precede the noun. Beyond this, Bantu languages generally allow changing the relative order of the post-nominal modifiers which leads to subtle (focus-related) changes in meaning but generally Bantu languages do not allow for adjectives, numerals and possessives to appear before the noun. However, Tsonga (S53), Tswana (S31), Haya (JE22) and Basaá (A43a) allow these kinds of nominal modifiers to appear in the pre-nominal position. While the general properties of Bantu noun phrases are well documented, there are few studies about the specific ordering constraints in the various Bantu languages [9], nor any larger cross-linguistic comparisons. Discussions of Bantu language noun phrases generally focus on nominal morphology or the augment [4, 5, 6, 7].

Xitsonga allows numerals and adjectives to appear pre-nominally (1-3). This word order is associated with focus and the focused element is marked with a longer duration of penultimate lengthening compared to unfocussed elements in non-sentence final position.

- | | | | |
|------|---|------|---|
| (1a) | va-nhu lá-va
2-people this-2
‘these people’ | (1b) | lá-vá vá:-nhu
this-2 2-people
‘THESE people’ |
| (2a) | va-nhu va-mbi:rhí
2-people 2-two
‘two people’ | (2b) | va-mbirhí vá:-nhu
2-two 2-people
‘TWO people’ |
| (3a) | va-nhu va-nkú:lú
2-people 2-big
‘big people’ | (3b) | va-nkúlú vá:-nhu
2-big 2-people
‘BIG people’ |

Xitsonga also allows for multiple modifiers to appear pre-nominally with N Adj Num being able to appear in any of the logically possible orders. This pattern has not been reported for other Bantu languages, except for Basaá and violates Greenberg’s Universal and Cinque’s predictions on possible and impossible ordering [1, 2, 3].

In this paper, we offer an overview of noun phrase word order patterns in Xitsonga and analyse these patterns in terms of the effects on penultimate lengthening. The focused element in (1b, 2b, 3b) show longer penultimate vowel than the unfocussed head noun in (1a, 2a, 3a).

References

- [1] Cinque, G. 1996. The antisymmetric programme: theoretical and typological implications. *J. of Linguistics* 32: 447-464.
[2] Cinque, G. 2000. On Greenberg’s Universal 20 and the semitic DP. *The University of Venice WPL* 10 (2): 45-61.

- [3] Cinque, G. 2005. Deriving Greenberg's Universal 20 and its exceptions. *LI* 36: 315-332.
- [4] de Blois, K. F. 1970. The augment in the Bantu languages. *Africana Linguistica* 4:86-165.
- [5] Ferrari-Bridgers, F. 2008. A unified syntactic analysis of Italian and Luganda nouns. In C. De Cat and K. Demuth (eds.). *The Bantu-Romance Connection*. Benjamins. 239-258.
- [6] Halpert, C. 2015. *Argument licensing and agreement*. Oxford University Press.
- [7] Halpert, C. to appear. The Augment. In *The Oxford Handbook of Bantu Linguistics*.
- [8] Hyman, LM. 2009. Penultimate Lengthening in Bantu: Analysis and Spread. *UC Berkeley Phonology Lab Annual Report* (2009)
- [9] Letsholo, R. and K. Matlhaku. 2014. The syntax of the Setswana noun phrase. *Marang* 24, 22-41.